



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
 10/631,011	07/31/2003	Markus Berger	DORRIE-9	9536	
23599 7.	590 09/29/2005		EXAM	INER	
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD.			SOLOLA, TAOFIQ A		
SUITE 1400	IDON BLVD.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON,	VA 22201	•	1626		

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/631,01	1	BERGER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
	Taofiq A. S	olola	1626			
The MAILING DATE of this communic	cation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply		S EVELDE & MONTH!	0) OD TUUDTY (20) DAYS			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stat - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months af earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF TH of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even unication. Intuitively period will apply and will only statute, cause the application.	IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be tirr l expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE!	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <i>26 July 2005</i> .					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	b)⊠ This action is no	on-final.				
/_	•—		secution as to the merits is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	·					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the a						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-8 and 12-</u>	· <u>28</u> is/are withdrawn f	rom consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	-					
6) Claim(s) <u>9-11</u> is/are rejected.		•	•			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election re	quirement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objec						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including				•		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	or foreign priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)⊡ Some * c)⊡ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority of 						
Certified copies of the priority of						
Copies of the certified copies of			ed in this National Stage			
application from the Internation		•				
* See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the certif	ied copies not receive	:d.			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P		Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3.	P10/SB/08)	6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
S. Patent and Trademark Office	Office Action Summa	ry	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 3	3		

Art Unit: 1626

Claims 1-28 are pending in this application.

Claims 1-8, 12-28 are drawn to non-elected invention.

RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

In response to the Restriction Requirement, Applicant elects with traverse the invention of group II, claims 9-11. The traversal is on the basis that the Office has not shown it would be undue burden to examine all the groups. This is not persuasive for reasons set forth in the Restriction Requirement. Applicant also elects the following species:

Therefore, claims 9-11 are being examined in part subject to the election made by applicant.

Status of Claims

The Office has reviewed the claims and disclosure to determine the scope of the independent invention encompassing the elected compound (compounds which are so similar thereto as to be within the same inventive concept and reduction to practice). The scope of an independent invention encompasses all compounds within the scope of the claims, which fall into the same class and subclass as the elected compound, but may include additional compounds, which fall in related subclasses. Examination of the elected compound AND the entire scope of the invention encompassing the elected compound as defined by common classification results in the following:

Art Unit: 1626

In formula I, W, R1, R3-R7 and L1-L4 are as defined in claim 1. A-Y is O-C(=O), O-CH₂, CH₂-C(=O), NR²¹-C(O); D-E is CH₂-CH₂; HC=CH, C =€, CH(OH)-CH(OH), CH(OH)-CH₂, CH₂-CH(OH), HC-CH; G and X are each O; one of R2a and R2b is , the other is as defined in claim 1. As a result of the election and the corresponding scope of the invention identified herein, the remaining subject matter of claims 9-11 are withdrawn from further consideration by the Examiner, under 37 CFR § 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected subject matter. The withdrawn compounds are patentably distinct from the examined invention as they differ in structure and element and would require a separate search. In addition, a reference, which anticipates the examined invention, would not render obvious the non-examined subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 9 is an independent claim but relies on claim 1 for the definitions of its substituents. Also, claim 11 relies on the specification for the contents of Table 1. A claim must stand alone to define the invention, and incorporation into the claims by reference to the specification or an external source is not permitted. Ex parte Fressola, 27 USPQ 2d 1608,

Art Unit: 1626

BdPatApp & Inter. (1993). By adding the definitions to claim 9 and the contents of Table 1 to claim 11 the rejection would be overcome.

The term "can", claim 1, line 4, page 4, and in every occurrence, when read into claim 9 renders claims 9-11 indefinite. The definitions must be cited with definite terms, such as "is".

By deleting the term in every occurrence the rejection would be overcome.

The terms "PG", PG^y and PG^z is protective group PG" in claim 1 and "PG¹, PG^{2a}, PG^{2b}" in claim 9 renders claims 9-11 indefinite. The protective groups cannot stand alone they must be described with what they protect, i.e. PG¹ is a oxygen- or nitrogen-protective group, etc as the case may be with each protective group.

The term "H/OR", in claim 1, and in every occurrence, when read into claim 9 renders claims 9-11 indefinite. The term is not defined in the specification, not a normal chemical normenclature and not found in any chemical dictionary. By deleting the term in every occurrence the rejection would be overcome.

Claim 9 is not in proper Markush format due to the presence of the phraseology "as a single isomer or a mixture of different isomers and/or as a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof". By replacing the phraseology with "isomers, mixtures of isomers and pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof" the rejection would be overcome. In patent examination, it is essential for claims to be precise, clear, correct, and unambiguous. *In re Zletz*, 893 F.2d 319, 13 USPQ2d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 1989).

The recitation below in claim 9 is confusing and therefore, renders claims 9-11 indefinite.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 1626

group FG¹ is replaced by a group FG^{2a} or FG^{2b}, wherein FG^{2a} or FG^{2b} can have the following meanings:

FG2b: -CONH-;

and wherein a recognition unit is conjugated via a sulfur atom with the group FG^{2a} or via an amide function with group FG^{2b} ; wherein the recognition unit is selected from the group that consists of peptides, soluble receptors, cytokines, lymphokines, aptamers, spiegelmers, recombinant proteins, new framework structures, monoclonal antibodies and fragments of monoclonal antibodies;

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9-11 are objected to for containing non-elected subject matter. To place the application in condition for allowance, all claims drawn to non-elected subject matter must be cancelled and the remaining claims must be amended within the scope of allowable subject matter set forth above under Status of Claims.

Telephone Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Taofiq A. Solola, PhD. JD., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0709.

Art Unit: 1626

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Joseph McKane, can be reached on (571) 272-0699. The fax phone number for this Group is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

TAOFIQ SOLOLA PRIMARY EXAMINER Group 1626

September 22, 2005